This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,330	(09/28/2000	Ken Zhang	S63.2-9176	7670
490	7590	04/14/2003			
-		t STEINKRAUS,	EXAMINER		
6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000				FONTAINE, MONICA A	
MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1732	7
				DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· .			AS				
<u>. </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	;	09/672,330	ZHANG ET AL.				
"	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Monica A Fontaine	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any i eame	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	· is action is non-final.					
2a) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under a closed in a clo	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
4)[Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	ı .					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 is/are withdrawn for	rom consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
· · · _	ion Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		to the the Evention				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
44)[]	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on						
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
,—	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
•	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, p					
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	·				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burse the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been receiv reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 14 been renumbered to be claim 13.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a process for producing a balloon, classified in class 264, subclass 529.
- II. Claim 13, drawn to a batch, classified in class 428, subclass 35.7The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as one which does not contain an axial stretching step.

· Art Unit: 1732

During a telephone conversation with Walter Steinkraus on 9 April 2003 a provisional election was made with oral traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 13 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The status of the copending application on Page 2, line 4 should be updated.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "ID" should be changed to --internal diameter--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "portion (A)" should be changed to --portion A-- since "A" is indentifying the portion.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 7-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: The phrases "portion (B1)" in claims 7-10 and "portion (B2)" in claims 9-10 should be changed to --portion B1-- and --portion B2--, respectively, since "B1" and "B2" are identifying specific portions. Appropriate correction is required.

- Art Unit: 1732

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: The examiner believes that the word "up" in line 2 is extraneous and should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the step (a)(i)" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 8 and 10 recite the limitation "the step (a)(ii)" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jackowski et al. (U.S. Patent 5,017,325).

· Art Unit: 1732

Regarding Claim 1, Jackowski et al., hereafter "Jackowski," show that it is known to carry out a process for producing a balloon comprising (a) axially stretching an extruded tubing segment made of a polymer material while pressurizing the tubing at an internal pressure above ambient pressure (Column 5, lines 25-27; Column 8, lines 32-37), to produce a stretched parison, the tubing segment having a first ID (Column 5, lines 44-46) and the polymer material having a glass transition temperature above ambient temperature (Column 13, lines 37-49), and then (b) blowing the balloon by expanding the stretched parison in a mold at a temperature above said glass transition temperature (Column 6, lines 35-40; Column 9, lines 67-68 – Column 10, lines 1-10), wherein said axially stretching comprises the step (a)(i) of subjecting the tubing to a temperature and internal pressure which is sufficient to expand the ID of at least a portion (A) of the stretched parison to a second ID greater than the first ID (Column 5, lines 54-62; Column 9, lines 67-68 – Column 10, lines 1-10).

Regarding Claims 2 and 3, Jackowski shows that it is known to carry out a process wherein the polymer material is a polyamide (Column 5, lines 14-15).

Regarding Claim 4, Jackowski shows that it is known to carry out a process wherein said extruded tubing segment is formed of a single layer of polymer material (Column 5, lines 25-27).

Regarding Claim 6, Jackowski shows that it is known to carry out a process wherein the balloon comprises a body portion having proximal and distal ends; proximal and distal cone portions, the cone portions being respectively located adjacent the respective proximal and distal ends of the balloon body; and proximal and distal waist portions adjacent the respective proximal and distal cone portions, and wherein, in step (b), said portion (A) of the stretched parison forms at least the balloon body (Figure 2c).

· Art Unit: 1732

Regarding Claim 7, Jackowski shows that it is known to carry out a process wherein the stretched parison comprises as second portion (B1) having an ID which is not greater than the first ID and in step (b) one of the waist portions of the balloon is formed from said portion (B1) (Figure 2c; Column 5, lines 44-46).

Regarding Claim 8, Jackowski shows that it is known to carry out a process wherein said axial stretching further comprises the step (a)(ii), of forming said stretched parison portion (B1) by reducing a portion of the extruded tubing segment to an ID less than said first ID prior to step (a)(i) (Column 5, lines 44-46, 54-62).

Regarding Claim 9, Jackowski shows that it is known to carry out a process wherein portion (B1) of the stretched parison forms the distal waist portion of the balloon, and the stretched parison comprises a third portion (B2) having an ID which is not greater than the first ID and in step (b) the proximal waist portion of the balloon is formed from said portion (B2) (Figure 2c).

Regarding Claim 10, Jackowski shows that it is known to carry out a process wherein said axial stretching further comprises the step (a)(ii), of forming at least one of said stretched parison portion (B1) and (B2) by necking down a portion of the extruded tubing segment to an ID less than the first ID (Figure 2c; Column 5, lines 44-46).

Regarding Claim 11, Jackowski shows that it is known to carry out a process wherein step (a)(ii) is performed at a pressure or temperature which is less than the pressure and temperature employed in step (a)(i) (Column 5, line 35; Column 6, lines 8-11; Column 9, lines 8-27).

· Art Unit: 1732

Regarding Claim 12, Jackowski shows that it is known to carry out a process wherein in step (a)(i) the pressure is 150-300 psi (Column 6, lines 35-40) and the temperature is 30°C-60°C (Column 10, lines 1-10).

Regarding Claim 13, Jackowski shows that it is known to carry out a process wherein a batch of balloons is produced by the process of claim 1 (Column 8, lines 21-26). Although Jackowski does not specify a number of balloons per batch, the significance of the claimed number of balloons per batch, 50, is unclear, as the instant application also contains examples drawn to batches of less than 50 balloons.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackowski, in view of Hamlin (U.S. Patent 5,270,086). Jackowski shows the process as claimed as discussed above, but does not show forming a balloon with a multi-layer tube. Hamlin shows that it is known to form a balloon from an extruded tubing segment containing at least two layers of polymer material (Column 2, lines 1-2; Column 3, lines 9-16). Hamlin and Jackowski are combinable because they are concerned with a similar technical field, namely, that of molding balloons for medical devices. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

• Art Unit: 1732

invention was made to use Hamlin's multi-layer extruded tubing in Jackowski's molding process in order to increase the strength of the balloon (see Hamlin, Column 2, lines 2-11).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica A Fontaine whose telephone number is 703-305-7239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rick Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

maf

April 10, 2003

JILL L. HEITBRINK

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 197 173